



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/653,301	09/02/2003	Randall Jay Briggs	100205079-1	2760
22879 75	90 03/30/2006		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			BUI, LUAN KIM	
	00, 3404 E. HARMONY AL PROPERTY ADMII		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IS, CO 80527-2400		3728	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/653,301	BRIGGS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Luan K. Bui	3728	

	LAdillilei	Aironic	
	Luan K. Bui	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•		
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• •	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•		ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	•	duaina or simplifyina	the issues for
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	iter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues ior
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Il be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: as stated in the final rejection.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•	• • • —	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12.   Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.  Other:		James (	
		Luan K Bui	
		Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 3/28

1

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the computer tower of Loudenslager is considered equivalent to the electronic device as claimed since the computer is an electronic device and the computer is capable of moving from one place to another which is considered equivalent to "portable" as claimed and also each of the support wells is adapted to secure the compact disc case. Therefore, the well is adapted to secure the compact disc. With respect to Cloran, the claims as recited are anticipated by Cloran when the compact disc holder of Cloran is attached to the electronic device. With respect to the terms "integrated" and "unitary", applicant is requested to review the definition of each term as cited in the Office Action .